

HAER
IOWA
53-OKFJ.V,
1-

HAER No. IA-59

HALE BRIDGE
(Wapsipinicon River Bridge)
Iowa Bridges Recording Project
Spanning Wapsipinicon River at county road
Oxford Junction Vicinity
Jones County
Iowa

BLACK & WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
XEROGRAPHIC COPIES OF COLOR TRANSPARENCIES
WRITTEN HISTORICAL & DESCRIPTIVE DATA

HISTORIC AMERICAN ENGINEERING RECORD
National Park Service
Department of the Interior
P.O. Box 37127
Washington, D.C. 20013-7127

HISTORIC AMERICAN ENGINEERING RECORD

HALE BRIDGE
(Wapsipinicon River Bridge)

HAER
IOWA
53-0XFJ.V,
1-

HAER No. IA-59

Location: County road over the Wapsipinicon River;
Hale Township, 5.8 miles northwest of
Oxford Junction, Jones County, Iowa
UTM: 15.660270.4651858
USGS: Wyoming West, Iowa quadrangle
(7.5 minute series, 1980)

Date of Construction: 1877-79

Designer/Contractor: King Iron Bridge and Manufacturing
Company, Cleveland OH

Present Owner: Jones County

Present Use: Roadway bridge

Significance: The three-span Hale Bridge is a
striking, and extremely rare, example of
what was once a common bridge form: the
bowstring arch-truss. In the 1860s and
1870s bowstring arch-trusses were used
extensively because of their great
structural efficiency and relatively low
construction costs. The Hale Bridge is
an excellent example of the work of the
King Iron Bridge and Manufacturing
Company, a major bridge fabricator who
erected great number of this type of
bridge throughout the nation, including
many in Iowa.

Historian: Geoffrey H. Goldberg, engineer,
August 1995

Project Information: This document was prepared as part of
the Iowa Historic Bridges Recording
Project performed during the summer of
1995 by the Historic American
Engineering Record (HAER). The project
was sponsored by the Iowa Department of
Transportation (IDOT). Preliminary
research on this bridge was performed by
Clayton B. Fraser of Fraserdesign,
Loveland, CO.

The period during which iron bowstring arch bridges proliferated in Iowa is an interesting episode in the history of bridges. Following the exceptional growth in Iowa's population in the wake of its admission to the Union in 1846, there was a need for a basic transportation infrastructure within the fledgling state. Now that Iowa's boundaries had been defined and the Native Americans had been displaced there was a plethora of land to be developed. State and local officials encouraged settlers to the new state. Settlers came from the eastern states and from Europe - Germany was the greatest supplier of these early immigrants, followed by Ireland, England, Scotland, and Scandinavia. They wrote home telling of the rich soil and readily available land, encouraging others to follow. During the 1850s Iowa's population more than tripled.¹

The development of the state's agricultural industry was highly successful. By 1860 Iowa was the tenth largest producer of grain in the nation.² Major markets for Iowa's agricultural products were Chicago and the large eastern cities as well as the overseas markets of England, Scotland, and Ireland. This development could only be sustained if a sufficient transportation infrastructure were present. Where the transportation systems were most developed, hogs were raised. Where populations were less dense and transportation systems undeveloped, cattle were raised.³

The need to reach large out-of-state markets was met by the development of the railroad system in the state. This coincided with out-of-state interests to have the key hub of Chicago linked with the Mississippi and Missouri rivers which define Iowa's eastern and western boundaries. In 1856 the United States Congress granted land to establish four railroads across the state. By 1866 rails had made it to Des Moines; a year later Council Bluffs on the Missouri River was reached.⁴

¹Leland L. Sage, *History of Iowa*, Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press, 1974, p. 92. In 1850 the population was 192,214. By 1860 the population had risen dramatically to 674,913.

²William H. Thompson, *Transportation in Iowa: A Historical Summary*. Ames, IA: Iowa Department of Transportation, 1989, p.43. The major grains produced were (in order of decreasing significance): corn, wheat, oats, buckwheat, barley, and rye.

³Thompson, p.43.

⁴Sage, p.112.

Although the railway system was vital to the economic development of the state, the intense concentration on the rail system did little to help development of the road systems. Roads were crude affairs. There was very little grading, and improvements were limited to filling in low spots to keep the roads above the water level during the rainy season.⁵ Although little effort was put into developing road surfaces, the need to ford streams, rivers, and gullies was given great attention, leading to the need for a great number of bridges.

The responsibility for roads and bridges was for the most part strictly local. Initially townships, later the counties, took on the burden of developing and maintaining the roads. Typically, the cost of building a bridge would be funded by the county paying a large fraction (often 2/3) and the balance was paid by subscribers - that is, the adjacent landowners, merchants, and farmers who held a major stake in the bridge being built. The two principal rivers in Jones County - the Maquoketa and Wapsipinicon rivers were the major obstacles that required bridging. Spanning these two rivers, and their tributary creeks and streams, necessitated a sizable drain on the county coffers.⁶

The early bridges were made of wood and had very limited life expectancy. By the time that Iowa was admitted to the Union, iron bridge technology was reaching a critical mass. The birth of iron bridges occurred in Britain following the development of industrial processes for the smelting of iron. The first iron bridge was built by Abraham Darby III in 1779 in Coalbrookdale, England. This was a cast-iron arch design which exploited the compressive strength of cast iron. Cast iron presented the early bridge designers with a problem, however, because it offered very poor strength when loaded in tension. In 1783 Henry Cort patented a method for shaping wrought-iron sections using rollers.⁷ The following year he patented the puddling process for the conversion of cast iron to wrought iron. For the first time, wrought iron, capable of accepting compression and tension, was available in sufficient quantities in convenient shapes.

⁵Thompson, p.69.

⁶History of Jones County, Iowa. Chicago: Western Historical Company, 1879, p. 349-50 discusses the major bridge expenditures through 1878.

⁷Emory L. Kemp, "The Introduction of Cast and Wrought Iron in Bridge Building," *IA: The Journal of the Society for Industrial Archaeology* 19,no.2(1993): 5-16 presents an excellent discussion of the early use of iron in bridge building.

The first iron bridge in the United States was built in 1836 by Captain Richard Delafield of the Army Corps of Engineers in Brownsville, Pennsylvania. A decade latter, at the time of the creation of the state of Iowa, the railroads were beginning to build iron trusses. In 1841 Squire Whipple, of upstate New York, received a patent for a bowstring arch-truss.⁸ This design consisted of a cast-iron arch with a wrought-iron lower chord, as well as diagonals and vertical rods of wrought iron. Many of these bridges were built in New York state, particularly for crossing the Erie Canal. Whipple's bowstring inspired many copies. In 1857 Thomas Moseley patented a bowstring design which used arches that were "built up of wrought plate iron...to give the whole arch transversely the form and strength of an arch, and to admit of very long spans without excessive weight, presenting at once the combined features of extraordinary strength and lightness."⁹ The idea of building-up the upper chord was the key. Other patents would follow - all using built-up sections of one type or another.

The bowstring arch was the preferred design because of its efficient use of material. These bridges were manufactured out of prefabricated members, and relatively easy to erect. Moseley created the Moseley Bridge Company in Cincinnati and in 1861 one of his agents, Zenas King (along with a metalworker Peter Frees) took out his own patent for a bowstring bridge.¹⁰ The company King created - the King Iron Bridge and Manufacturing Company of Cleveland, became a powerhouse in the iron bridge building industry. During the 1860s and 1870s they built hundreds of bowstring bridges throughout the nation. Other large bridge companies got in the act, including David Hammond's Wrought Iron Bridge Company of Canton, Ohio; and Joseph Davenport's Massillon Bridge Company of Massillon, Ohio. During this brief period thousands of bowstring arch bridges were built, spanning rivers, streams and gullies throughout the nation.

As intense as the bowstring building activity was, the bloom was short lived. Although the bowstring form is efficient in its use of material, it did suffer from some major problems. Because the upper chord members were bent to take the shape of the arch, each span length required a unique curve. This was a distinct manufacturability problem. The competing Pratt design, patented in 1844 by Thomas and Caleb Pratt, had straight upper and lower chords. Bridges of various spans could be accommodated by adding

⁸Letters Patent No. 2064, April 24, 1841.

⁹Letters Patent No. 16,572, February 3, 1857.

¹⁰Letters Patent No. 33,384, October 1, 1861.

additional panels or simply selecting the appropriate element lengths, while the bowstring, with its fixed curved arch, could not. Probably, an even greater problem was the perception that the bridges were unsafe. The feelings of one Iowa state highway engineer from 1914 is indicative: "The bridges are light and flimsy. Everything about them is conducive to extreme and excessive vibration. Every man who has crossed one has noticed the trembling of the structure and the rattle of the rods and members of the bridge."¹¹

The Hale Bridge is a typical example of the bowstring bridges built by the King Iron Bridge and Manufacturing Company. King fabricated his upper chords in the form of a wrought-iron rectangular tube, building upon the tubular bowstring design of Moseley for whom King had previously worked.¹² Before joining Moseley's company in 1858 King had developed his business acumen during the previous years that he spent in Milan, Ohio where he had set up as a carpenter for eight years and later as a clothing merchant for another eight years.¹³ In 1856 King began a two year stint as a salesman for Hedges, Frees & Company of Cincinnati, who manufactured agricultural machinery, including an "agricultural steam boiler." This exposed King to boiler-plate manufacturing technology which played an important role in the fabrication of King's tubular arch bridges.¹⁴

In 1861 Moseley moved his operation to Boston, and King along with Peter Frees established his own company. The King and Frees bowstring tube was fabricated from parallel boiler plates forming the front and back sides of the tube and channel stock forming the upper and lower surfaces. In 1864 King and Frees parted company. Shortly thereafter King hired a trained civil engineer,

¹¹"Treacherous Danger in Bow String Bridge," *Iowa Highway Commission Service Bulletin*. August, 1914, p.7.

¹²David A. Simmons, "Bridges and Boilers: Americans Discover the Wrought-Iron Tubular Bowstring Bridge." *IA: The Journal of the Society for Industrial Archaeology* 19,no.2(1993): 63-76. Discusses and compares the tubular bowstring designs and manufacturing operations of Moseley and King.

¹³David A. Simmons, "Bridge Building on a National Scale: The King Iron Bridge and Manufacturing Company." *IA: The Journal of the Society for Industrial Archaeology* 15,no.2(1989): 23-39. gives the definitive history of King's Company. Much of the history of the King Company presented here is drawn from this source.

¹⁴Simmons, "Bridges and Boilers," p.70.

Cyrus G. Force who simplified the design of King's bowstring, making manufacturability improvements which made the bridges more economical.¹⁵ Moseley's move to Boston left the important bridge market of Ohio wide open for King who was quick to exploit the opportunity. King sold a great number of bowstrings in Ohio, and it has been suggested that "the efforts of other companies to meet King's competition undoubtedly promoted the popularity of the bowstring in Ohio during the 1860s and 1870s."¹⁶ Once King and the other major bridge companies of Ohio had developed the bowstring form to meet the Ohio market, it was natural that they would employ this form elsewhere. Because the need for bridges was so great in Iowa during this period it is not surprising that so many bowstrings were built in Iowa.

The township of Hale in Jones County, Iowa is divided into two parts by the Wapsipinicon River. The township is located in the southern portion of the county. Although the township of Hale was organized as early as 1851, it was not until 1872 that the village of Hale was founded when the Sabula, Ackley & Dakota Branch of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railroad was laid. In that year the post office and the village's first store were established. In addition to agriculture, one early industrial enterprise was a lime manufacturing kiln that was established in the late 1860s or early 1870s.¹⁷ In 1869 and 1870 a total of \$8,000 was spent to build a bridge across the Wapsipinicon.¹⁸ Shortly thereafter, it became clear that an additional bridge across the river was required.

In April, 1877 the Jones County Board of Supervisors contracted with King to supply all bridges ordered between then and January 1, 1878. A contract was given to King for the erection of a pair of bowstring spans - one 81 foot and one 82 foot. By September,

¹⁵Simmons, "Bridge Building on a National Scale," p.26. In 1867 King revised his patent changing from the varying cross-section of the original patent to one of a uniform cross-section.

¹⁶Simmons, "Bridge Building on a National Scale," p.26 - p.27.

¹⁷R.M. Corbit, *History of Jones County, Iowa: Past and Present*. Chicago: S.J. Clarke Publishing Co., 1910. vol.1, p.383.

¹⁸*History of Jones County, Iowa*. Chicago: Western Historical Company, 1879, 349. In January, 1869, \$3,000 was appropriated. The following year, \$2,450 was appropriated. "The entire cost of the bridge was near \$8,000." Apparently the balance was contributed by subscribers.

1877, the piles for the center pier had been driven and capped.¹⁹ By the spring, the iron spans and the wood approach spans were in place. The following spring, it was decided to replace the southern wood approaches with another iron bowstring. Once again, a contract was awarded to King - this time for a 100 foot span at the south approach. The cost for this span was \$14 per foot, for a total of \$1,400.²⁰ By June, an abutment was constructed to the south to accept this new span. Shortly thereafter the superstructure was installed. The full three spans were now in place.

The bowstrings were constructed of King's patented tubular arch which was built-up from channels forming the upper and lower surfaces and continuous plates making up the side covers. These plates were riveted to the channels in the shop, with the exception of intervals where bolts were installed in the field to attach splice plates. The bottom chords are made of rectangular eyebars. The verticals were constructed of star irons and the diagonals were made from round rod. Lateral stability was provided by outriders which ran from the ends of lateral struts, extending beyond the vertical plane of the trusses, to the upper chords. The two shorter spans were pony trusses. Because of its greater length, the 100 foot span was tied through with round stock at the top of the upper chord to provide for additional lateral stability.

The Hale Bridge has given faithful service for well over a century. It has endured its share of traumas. During August, 1972 the bridge suffered significant damage from a log jam that got hung up in the lower chord during flooding. This necessitated the replacement of many of the bridge's secondary elements (diagonals, lateral struts, etc.).²¹ However the elements of greatest historical significance - King's tubular arches, are original. The bridge thus still stands as an example of King's bowstring design.

¹⁹Jones County Supervisors' Minutes, Book C: page 265 (3 September 1877) The cost for driving and capping the pier was \$1,200.

²⁰Supervisors' Minutes, Book C: page 418 (9 April 1879), page 433 (4 Jun 1879).

²¹ Bob Visser, assistant county engineer, Hale County, IA, interview with author 19 Jun 1995.

SOURCES CONSULTED

- Cooper, Clare C. "The Role of Railroads in the Settlement of Iowa: A Study in Historical Geography." M.A. thesis, University of Nebraska, 1958.
- Corbit, R.M. *History of Jones County, Iowa: Past and Present*, 2 vols. Chicago: S.J. Clarke Publishing Co., 1910.
- History of Jones County, Iowa*. Chicago: Western Historical Company, 1879, 349.
- Iowa Department of Transportation, Structure Inventory and Appraisal: Structure Number 206270, Hale Bridge
- Iowa Historic Bridge Inventory*, v.8: JONE02, Hale Bridge. Loveland CO: FRASERdesign, produced for the Iowa Department of Transportation, June 1993.
- Jones County Supervisors' Minutes, Book C: page 238 (5 April 1877), page 265 (3 September 1877), page 308 (11 January 1878), page 323 (4 April 1878), page 413 (8 April 1879), page 418 (9 April 1879), page 433 (4 June 1879), page 528 (11 June 1880); Book E: page 19 (5 January 1888), Jones County Courthouse, Anamosa, IA.
- Kemp, Emory L., "The Introduction of Cast and Wrought Iron in Bridge Building," *IA: The Journal of the Society for Industrial Archaeology* 19,no.2(1993): 5-16.
- King, Zenus and Peter M. Frees, Letters Patent No. 33,384, October 1, 1861.
- Moseley, Thomas W.H., Letters Patent No. 16,572, February 3, 1857.
- Sage, Leland L. *A History of Iowa*. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press, 1974.
- Simmons, David A. "Bridge Building on a National Scale: The King Iron Bridge and Manufacturing Company." *IA: The Journal of the Society for Industrial Archaeology* 15,no.2(1989): 23-39.
- Simmons, David A. "Bridges and Boilers: Americans Discover the Wrought-Iron Tubular Bowstring Bridge." *IA: The Journal of the Society for Industrial Archaeology* 19,no.2(1993): 63-76.
- Thompson, William H. *Transportation in Iowa: A Historical Summary*. Ames, IA: Iowa Department of Transportation, 1989.

"Treacherous Danger in Bow String Bridge," *Iowa Highway
Commission Service Bulletin*. August, 1914, p.7.

Visser, Bob, assistant county engineer, Hale County, IA,
interview with author 19 Jun 1995.

Whipple, Squire, Letters Patent No. 2,064, April 24, 1841.

ADDENDUM TO
HALE BRIDGE
(Wapsipinicon River Bridge)
Iowa Historic Bridges Recording Project
Spanning Wapsipinicon River at County Road
Oxford Junction vic.
Jones County
Iowa

HAER No. LA-59

HAER
IOWA
53- OXFJ.V,
1-

WRITTEN HISTORICAL AND DESCRIPTIVE DATA

HISTORIC AMERICAN ENGINEERING RECORD
National Park Service
1849 C Street, NW
Washington, DC 20240

ADDENDUM TO
HALE BRIDGE
HAER No. IA-59
(Page 10)

HISTORIC AMERICAN ENGINEERING RECORD
HALE BRIDGE
(Wapsipinicon River Bridge)

HAER
IOWA
53-0X FJ.V,
1-

Iowa Historic Bridges Recording Project

This appendix is an addendum to a 9-page report previously transmitted to the Library of Congress.

APPENDIX: ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

Interested readers may consult the Historical Overview of Iowa Bridges, HAER No. IA-88: "This historical overview of bridges in Iowa was prepared as part of Iowa Historic Bridges Recording Project - I and II, conducted during the summers of 1995 and 1996 by the Historic American Engineering Record (HAER). The purpose of the overview was to provide a unified historical context for the bridges involved in the recording projects."